Guidelines for Non-formal Adult Education Providers on Using the Self-Assessment Tool

2023

Content

Introduction

The following guidelines aim to assist Vocational Education and Training (VET) providers in effectively utilizing the Self-Assessment Tool based on the EQAVET framework. This tool is designed to evaluate and enhance the quality of non-formal adult learning programs. By following these steps, VET providers can make informed decisions to improve the educational experiences and outcomes for adult learners.

The use of indicators for setting goals to be reached has many positive aspects since they:

- Help VET providers to establish quality assurance goals and priorities.
- Are precise, specific figures that give impetus to decision-makers to operationalize the objectives, so that they can be measured.
- Allow comparison and benchmarking on the national, regional, and even international levels.
- Support impartial monitoring since the identification of precise reference parameters can reduce the subjectivity of judgment.
- Allow identification of the areas of excellence and the critical areas and support the decision about the most suitable policies and measures to be implemented.
- Provide a solid starting point for the assessment. Without integrating quantitative data with qualitative analysis, any assessment is at risk of subjectivity.

The self-assessment tool aims to provide a structured framework for evaluating the quality of non-formal adult learning programs. It is based on the EQAVET Quality Assurance and Improvement cycle, which includes planning, implementation, evaluation/assessment, and review/revision phases.

The primary objective of the self-assessment tool is to help training service providers recognize the importance of quality assurance and establish a path towards achieving it. By using this tool, providers can identify areas of strength and areas in need of improvement in their non-formal adult learning programs.

The tool is guided by the following principles:

- *Flexibility*: Stakeholders can select and combine criteria based on their specific needs and target audience.
- *Continuous Improvement*: The tool supports an ongoing process of quality enhancement and is not a one-time assessment.
- *Evidence-Based Decision Making*: Data collected using the tool should inform policy and decision-making related to non-formal education programs for adults.

While the self-assessment too offers valuable insights into program quality, there are *challenges* to consider:

- ● *Data Collection*: Gathering accurate and relevant data may be resource-intensive.
- ● *Subjectivity*: Interpretation of results may vary, and it is important to ensure a balanced and unbiased assessment.
- ● *Adaptation to Context*: The tool may need customization to align with specific national or regional contexts.

The tool utilizes EQAVET indicators, which are not static categories but exist on a continuum. It is recommended to integrate quantitative data with qualitative analysis for a comprehensive assessment. The results can be used to set quality assurance goals, support decision-making, facilitate comparisons, and identify areas for improvement.

Getting to Know the Tool

Prior to embarking on the self-assessment process, take the time to get to know the Self-Assessment Tool based on the EQAVET framework. This means thoroughly understanding the provided overview, description, aim, principles, and criteria. Having a good grasp of the tool's purpose and how it aligns with EQAVET principles is really important.

Taking this initial step sets the stage for a structured and informed approach, which will help you evaluate non-formal adult learning programs effectively. It's like having a solid foundation before building a house – it ensures that everything else goes smoothly. So, invest some time in this first step to make the rest of the process much smoother and more effective.

Identify Stakeholders and Establish a Team

Identifying the key stakeholders and forming a dedicated self-assessment team is essential. This team should include representatives from various stakeholder groups, such as educators, administrators, learners, industry partners, and other relevant personnel.

In addition to internal stakeholders, it is important to engage external entities such as municipalities. Municipalities play a crucial role in supporting and facilitating adult education initiatives within their communities. Representatives from local government offices, community organizations, and other relevant bodies can provide valuable insights and resources to enhance the evaluation process.

By involving municipalities, the VET provider gains access to additional expertise and resources that contribute to a comprehensive and well-informed assessment. This collaborative approach not only

Co-funded by
the European Union
ITPIO
NATIONAL AGENCY FOR VOCATIONAL EDUCATION
AND TRAINING
НАПОО

strengthens the evaluation process but also reinforces a sense of shared responsibility for the continuous enhancement of non-formal adult learning programs within the community.

1. Plan the Self-Assessment Process

Dividing the self-assessment process into manageable phases is a strategic approach that ensures a systematic and organized evaluation. This division is aligned with the EQAVET Quality Assurance and Improvement cycle, which comprises planning, implementation, evaluation/assessment, and review/revision phases.

Planning Phase:

During this initial phase, set clear and measurable goals and objectives for the self-assessment process. Define the policies, procedures, tasks, and allocate necessary human resources.

> *Example: A VET provider outlines its goal to enhance the quality of its adult education programs. Objectives include improving learner outcomes and increasing industry relevance.*

Implementation Phase:

Establish procedures to achieve the defined goals and objectives. This involves tasks such as developing partnerships, involving stakeholders, allocating resources, and setting operational procedures.

> *Example: The VET provider forms collaborations with local businesses to provide practical training opportunities for adult learners. Resources are allocated for updated learning materials.*

Assessment Phase:

Design mechanisms for data collection and processing to make informed assessments. Evaluate the achievements against the set goals and objectives.

> *Example: Surveys are administered to learners, teachers, and industry partners to gather feedback on program effectiveness. Performance records are analyzed to assess learner progress.*

Review Phase:

After processing feedback and assessment results, engage key stakeholders in discussions and analyses. Devise procedures for change, focusing on achieving targeted outcomes and setting new objectives.

Example: The VET provider convenes a review meeting with educators, administrators, and industry partners. They analyze the assessment findings and develop action plans for program enhancements.

Establishing a timeline is crucial to ensure that each phase is adequately addressed. Allocate specific timeframes for activities within each phase, allowing for thorough assessments without undue delays. For example, the planning phase may take one month, followed by two months for implementation, and so forth.

Allocating resources is equally vital. This includes budgetary allocations for necessary materials, personnel hours, and any external expertise required for the self-assessment process.

Assigning responsibilities clarifies who is accountable for each aspect of the self-assessment activities. This ensures that tasks are completed efficiently and that all team members understand their roles. For instance, the Self-Assessment Team Leader oversees the planning phase, while Implementation Team Members handle tasks related to the implementation phase.

Data Analysis and Reflection

After collecting data throughout the self-assessment process, it's crucial to dive into a thorough analysis. Look for trends, patterns, and areas where improvements can be implemented. Reflect on these findings and consider how they align with the overarching goals of your non-formal adult learning program.

For instance, let's take the example of a VET provider. They carefully analyze the data and notice a consistent increase in learner satisfaction and performance in healthcare courses following the implementation of targeted support services. Reflecting on this positive trend, they recognize the significant impact it has on achieving their mission of providing high-quality education.

Action Planning and Continuous Improvement

Based on the identified areas for improvement, the VET provider should develop a detailed action plan. Assign responsibilities, set clear timelines, and allocate necessary resources for implementation. As the action plan progresses, make sure to monitor the progress over time.

In the case of the VET provider, their action plan includes additional faculty training, the creation of a mentorship program, and the integration of simulation labs for hands-on training. These initiatives are assigned to respective teams with well-defined deadlines for completion.

Documentation and Reporting

VET providers shoult maintain meticulous records of the entire self-assessment process, including findings, action plans, and outcomes. Transparency and accountability are key. It is preferable to generate comprehensive reports to share with stakeholders, showcasing the progress made.

For example, the Vet providers maintains a comprehensive record of their self-assessment efforts, including detailed action plans and progress reports. They regularly share these reports with stakeholders, demonstrating their commitment to transparency and continuous improvement.

Feedback and Stakeholder Engagement

Throughout the self-assessment process, actively seek feedback from stakeholders. Their input is invaluable in refining strategies and approaches. Foster ongoing engagement with stakeholders to ensure their perspectives are valued.

By following these comprehensive guidelines, VET providers can harness the full potential of the Self-Assessment Tool, driving continuous improvement in the quality of non-formal adult learning programs. This proactive approach not only enhances the learning experience for adult learners but also contributes to the broader goals of promoting excellence in vocational education and training.

For instance, the vocational training center's diligent use of the Self-Assessment Tool leads to notable improvements in learner outcomes, program relevance, and industry partnerships. Their commitment to quality assurance sets a benchmark for other VET providers in the region.

For the purposes of the project, we prepare a questionnaire for self-assessment of VET providers, according to proposed criteria. Each VET provider may adjust or refine these questions to better suit the particulars of your context. This will aid in ensuring that the self-assessment process is accurately tailored to its specific needs.

Self-Evaluation Questionnaire: Quality Assurance in Non-Formal Adult Learning Programs

Phase 1 - Planning

Criterion 1: Clarity of Goals and Objectives

1. **Are the objectives of our non-formal adult education program clearly defined and specific in terms of skills or competencies to be acquired?**

- Yes

- No

2. **Do we have measurable goals with defined indicators for success in place?**

- Yes

- No

Criterion 2: Stakeholder Involvement

3. **To what extent are relevant stakeholders (educators, learners, employers, community members) involved in the planning process?**

- Actively involved

- Somewhat involved

- Minimally involved

- Not involved

4. **Can you provide an example of how industry representatives are engaged in identifying relevant skills and competencies?**
   …………………………………………

5. **How do we gather input from learners regarding their educational and career goals?**
   …………………………………………

Criterion 3: Integration of Technology and Innovation

6. **To what extent do we integrate technology and innovative teaching methods to enhance the learning experience?**

- Fully integrated

- Partially integrated

- Minimally integrated

- Not integrated

7. **Can you provide an example of how we incorporate technology or innovative methods in our instructional approach?**

8. **How are emerging technologies utilized to stay relevant and competitive in the job market?**

………………………………………

Phase 2 - Implementation

Criterion 1: Stakeholder Engagement

9. **How actively are various stakeholders engaged in the implementation process (learners, educators, employers, community members)?**

• Actively engaged

• Somewhat engaged

• Minimally engaged

• Not engaged

10. **Can you provide an example of how employers are involved in designing curriculum or providing work placements?**

………………………………………

11. **How do we seek input from learners regarding their preferences for instructional methods and learning environments?**

………………………………………

Criterion 2: Resource Allocation and Utilization

12. **How effectively do we allocate and utilize human, financial, and material resources to support the implementation of the VET program?**

• Very effectively

• Moderately effectively

• Minimally effectively

• Ineffectively

13. **Can you provide an example of how we ensure that funds are allocated for necessary equipment, technology, and materials?**

………………………………………

14. **How do we optimize the scheduling of instructors to meet program demands?**

………………………………………

Criterion 3: Learner-Centered Approach

15. **To what extent does our implementation process prioritize the needs, preferences, and learning styles of the learners?**

- Highly prioritized

- Moderately prioritized

- Minimally prioritized

- Not prioritized

16. Can you provide an example of how we offer multiple learning pathways or flexible scheduling options to accommodate diverse learner profiles?

……………………………………

17. How do we provide opportunities for self-directed learning and individualized support?

……………………………………

Phase 3 - Assessment

<u>Criterion 1: Data Collection Methods</u>

18. How effective and appropriate are the methods used to collect data during the assessment phase?

- Very effective and appropriate

- Moderately effective and appropriate

- Minimally effective and appropriate

- Ineffective and inappropriate

19. Can you provide an example of how we use surveys to gather feedback from learners and stakeholders?

……………………………………

20. How do we analyze performance records and outcomes of participants?

……………………………………

<u>Criterion 2: Inclusivity and Equity in Assessment</u>

21. To what extent does the assessment process ensure inclusivity and fairness for all participants?

- Highly inclusive and fair

- Moderately inclusive and fair

- Minimally inclusive and fair

- Not inclusive and fair

22. Can you provide an example of how we provide reasonable accommodations for learners with specific needs during assessments?

……………………………………

23. How do we ensure that assessment methods do not inadvertently disadvantage any particular group?

…………………………………………

Criterion 3: Transparency and Communication

24. How transparent and clear is the assessment process for all stakeholders, including learners, educators, and external partners?

- Very transparent and clear

- Moderately transparent and clear

- Minimally transparent and clear

- Not transparent and clear

25. Can you provide an example of how we communicate assessment criteria and expectations to learners in advance?

…………………………………………

26. How do we provide regular updates on assessment progress and results to all relevant parties?

…………………………………………

Phase 4 – Follow-up

Criterion 1: Action Planning and Implementation

27. How focused and effective are we in developing and executing action plans to address identified areas for improvement or new objectives?

- Very focused and effective

- Moderately focused and effective

- Minimally focused and effective

- Not focused and effective

28. Can you provide an example of a detailed action plan with specific tasks, responsible parties, and timelines for implementation?

…………………………………………

29. How do we allocate resources to support the execution of identified improvements?

…………………………………………

Criterion 2: Monitoring of Changes

30. How well have we established mechanisms for monitoring and tracking the progress of implemented changes?

- Very well established

- Moderately established

- Minimally established

- Not established

31. Can you provide an example of how we conduct regular progress assessments to ensure planned improvements are on track?

…………………………………………

32. How do we use key performance indicators to measure the impact of implemented changes on learner outcomes?

…………………………………………

Criterion 3: Stakeholder Engagement in Follow-up

33. To what extent do stakeholders actively engage in the follow-up process, including their participation in discussions and analyses?

- Actively engaged

- Somewhat engaged

- Minimally engaged

- Not engaged

34. Can you provide an example of how we convene regular meetings or forums with stakeholders to review progress?

…………………………………………

35. How do we encourage active participation from industry partners in evaluating the relevance of program updates?

…………………………………………